Objective	High Level Risk	Detailed Risk	Pre- control Risk Score	Controls	Source of Assurar	Post- control Risk Score	Review Date	Actions Description	Outcome of Review /Changes made	f Owner
1.1 To ensure the Fund has an appropriate governance structure	1.1 Failure to have an appropriate Governance structure in place including appropriate polices e.g. Conflicts of Interest	Failure to review Governance standards against suitable benchmark (Government guidance e.g. Code of practice 14)	16	Officers monitor and are aware of various governance standards and changes within them.	MHCLG, tPR, SAB, LGA,	9	Sep-21	tPR revision of code of practice (from 15 codes to 1) and consider internal/external		O <b>All</b>
1.2 To ensure that all Elected Members and officers have appropriate Knowledge and skills	1.2 Failure to ensure that Elected Members and Senior Managers have the required skills or qualifications to perform their function effectively, and are supported by an ongoing programme of training	Failure to deliver regular training to Elected Members	12	Consider independent assessment of knowledge and skills	Pension Board, AON, Self assessment, Regular "just in time training" at meetings, two Committee training session per year, Virtual training carried out to ensure continuity.		ongoing	Impact of SAB Good Governance Review? Induction and refresher training to be delivered throughout 2021 following election of new members and TNA		HW/ MG
1.6 To comply with all legislation relating to Local Government Pensions.	1.6 Failure to adhere to relevant statutory regulations including updates to LGPS	Failure to know about legislative change		Regular review of prospective changes through consultations; updates from LGA and intelligence from conferences and advisors	Pension Board, Altair system updates, LGA, Hymans, POGS, Eversheds			Review impact of McCloud, cost cap, tax relief and fair deal.		
1.6 To comply with all legislation relating to Local Government Pensions.	1.6 Failure to adhere to relevant statutory regulations including updates to LGPS	Failure to implement changes to systems, processes and to document such as required by legislative change	20	Ensure any changes are implemented through changes to documents and procedures as required	Pension Board, Altair updates, communications working groups	15	Ongoing	urgent software updates required to assit implimentation of regulation changes, manual calculations-impact on resources		O MS SJ JW
1.6 To comply with all legislation relating to Local Government Pensions.	1.6 Failure to adhere to relevant statutory regulations including updates to LGPS	Failure to train staff as required by the legislative change	16	Ensure staff are trained in changes as required, MPCs	Pension Board, Internal Audit, team meetings, targeted training, webinars, LGA training	12	Ongoing	need to train staff on impact and practical implementation of significant regulatory change		MS SJ JW
2.5 To ensure the Fund takes account of Responsible Investment (RI) factors in its investment decisions.	2.5 Failure to take account of RI factors in investment decisions	Failure to comply with the FRC UK Stewardship Code	16 8	FRC UK Stewardship Code (Tier 1 signatory to 2016 code), as are all fund managers, working towards becoming signatory of 2020		12	Ongoing Annual / April 22	To become signatories of the 2020 FRC UK Stewardship Code, plus SAB	·	O MS SJ JW
2.5 To ensure the Fund takes account of Responsible Investment (RI) factors in its investment decisions.	2.5 Failure to take account of RI factors in investment decisions	Failure to have a Climate Policy and take into account the impact of climate change on the SAA and subsequent investment returns	16	revised code  Climate policy exisits, Pensions Panel takes into account impact of cliamte change in its investment decisions and setting of SAA, through scenario analysis	Climate risk report, Climate Policy is being produced, TCFD reporting, Hymans, LGPSC, Scenario analysis, SAA review incorporates climate change roadmap	12	Apr-22	guidance Initial Climate risk report received from LGPSC, along with draft TCFD report, work with Hymans and LGPSC to develop climate policy		O TB
2.5 To ensure the Fund takes account of Responsible Investment (RI) factors in its investment decisions.	2.5 Failure to take account of RI factors in investment decisions	Failure to integrate Climate change and the transition to low carbon economy into the investment portfolio.	12	LAPFF, LGPS Central and fund managers liaise directly with companies on climate change issues	Member of LAPFF, Managers reports, officers member of PAF RI working group, LGPS Central Investment Director for RI (Hermes). Carbon Risk Metrics (MSCI) and Climate Scenario Analysis	6	Ongoing	Review climate risk reporting output from LGPS central, Consider wider implications of Climate risk on the fund, eg funding, employers etc		TB/ Pensions Panel
2.8 Ensure the efficient transfer of assets to, set up and running of LGPS Central	2.8 Operating costs of the pool exceed budget, staff impacted and anticipated savings do not materialise, impacting Fund performance	Regulatory Changes	10	Regulatory change is monitored and consulatations are responded to.	MHCLG, Pensions Committee, Hymans, cross pool working groups.	10	ongoing	Review as a result of MHCLG formal consultation and statutory guidance		Pensions Committee
3.6 To ensure that the existing and prospective liabilities arising from circumstances unique to different scheme employers are taken into account by the Actuary	3.6 Failure to identity, monitor and reflect the unique characteristics of employer's liabilities for example maturity in setting contribution rates including those employing bodies getting close to having no active members	of the fund.		Online FLR in place, Financial and other data sets being developed.	Annual review of employer covenants, Actuary, triennial valuation, employer profiling report	16	Dec-21	Fully introducing new system to review ongoing employer funding and risk levels, including review of external system providers		oy MS/JW

4.1 Deliver a consistently high level of performance and customer service	4.1 Failure to deliver a consistently high level of performance and customer service	Failure to monitor workloads, or backlogs or benchmark staff numbers	Staffing numbers are appropriate - monitor workloads; monitor backlogs; benchmark staffing numbers	Review of KPIs by Pensions Committee / Board, Review of published benchmark returns	an req ch ne im wi de ind by so an lea ind ongoing, Jan	ignificant mount of egulatory nange and the eed to nplement such, nay impact ider service elivery, ocreased further y delays in oftware updates nd systems, eading to ocreased nanual alculations.	SJ/JW ffing numt	
4.2 To maintain sufficient levels of data integrity, security, and to ensure business continuity	•	Failure to provide a robust and reliable administration system to facilitate the delivery of performance standards	Osing Aquina rieywood AXIS / Altair system and bespoke SCC calculation software, developed over many years on a collaborative basis with other LGPS schemes; regular updates; input to national developments; tendered from time to	ICT audit reviews	Siq ter red De po im iss res	ignificant ender project equired prior to ec 21 and otential nplementation sues on esourcing.	S7\7M	
4.2 To ensure data quality is accurate, secure and protected and critical systems are available at all times	4.2 Failure to ensure data quality is accurate, secure and protected and critical systems are available at all times	unload or system	i-Connect self tests data before submission accepted. The Pensions Section will also carries out tolerance checks on data received. System failure is covered by the potential to reverse and retro load data if required.	Audit, inbuilt controls and	tol	eview monthly blerance Inte	tware chai	
4.2 To ensure data quality is accurate, secure and protected and critical systems are available at all times	4.2 Failure to ensure data quality is accurate, secure and protected and critical systems are available at all times	Failure to protect against increased physical or cyber threats	SCC and partner ICT policies and procedures, Mirror server operation, special environmental controls SCC ICT Policies, internal access controls and Altair security roles Firewall and anti virus controls. Business Contingency and DR Plans	ICT Audit, DR Testing reviews.GDPR Impact assesment statement for MPP, evidence of current security arrangements held by software provider and security certification levels.	Distance and so properties and	iscuss with ICT and third party oftware roviders via lient Manager reetings to rollide cyber recurity and roual DR resting. Consider applications of rew TPR	o SJ/JW	
4.2 To ensure data quality is accurate, secure and protected and critical systems are available at all times	4.2 Failure to ensure data quality is accurate, secure and protected and critical systems are available at all times	respect of Mcloud	Internal project team, software providers update systems to collect data and identify any gaps. Regulatory requirement.	Software reporting.	Pr wo eff so	roject team  wor  orking  effe  ffectivley,  soft  oftware has	ject team rking ectivley, JW/SJ/MS tware has	
4.3 To Communicate to our key stakeholders in a clear informative style	4.3 Failure to Communicate to our key stakeholders in a clear informative style	Failure to communicate regularly with scheme members	Communications via Staffordshire Pension Fund website and electronic or employer channels. Annual pensioner newsletter (in contact).	Pensions Board / Committee reports Communications Strategy and regular review	Co im mo ele co an MI po inc	onsider the cornpact of the outer towards lectronic 202 ommunication and promotion of 202 defactorial for ocreased Cornact Cor	nsider e-up and come m first 0 ABS ctronic rcise, 1 plan to ault all esioners electronic nmunicati	
4.4 Ensure administration compliance with regulatory codes of practice and legislation.	4.4 Failure to comply with regulatory codes of practice and legislation.	Goodwin. Processing and funding issues (see duplicated on funding tab)	Systems updated and adequate staff resouce and training in place	KPIs maintained at previous levels	Re mo	eview and nonitor egislative hanges	o sj/jm	
4.4 Ensure administration compliance with regulatory codes of practice and legislation.	4.4 Failure to comply with regulatory codes of practice and legislation.	Failure to apply proper due diligence with regard to transfers out, exposing the fund to potential Fraud, risk of Claims via management companies re former transfers out, scams, IFA claims	Staff training, TRP code of practice, Regulation	Internal checks, Internal Audit, Internal Staffing structures, FCA regulation of IFAs	tra pr co th	nsure all ansfer ractices omplice with ne CoP plus ensions bill	0	0